



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
Date of Deposit: July 14, 2005
Typed Name of Person Mailing Paper or Fee: Rachel Borchert
Signature: Rachel Borchert

**PATENT APPLICATION
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 200311122-1**

**IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Inventor(s): Daryl E. Anderson **Confirmation No.:** 9023
Application No.: 10/717,952 **Examiner:** Blackman, Rochelle
Filing Date: 11/19/2003 **Group Art Unit:** 2851
Title: Alignment With Linear Array Of Receptors

**MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450**

RESPONSE A

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's restriction requirement dated 06/14/2005, Applicant elects to prosecute claims 1-12 and 38-44, and cancel claims 13-37 and 45-74 with traverse. In response to the Examiner's election requirement, Applicant elects, without traverse, the species II (i) (1) of Group I, should no generic claim be finally held allowable.

Applicant traverses the restriction requirement on the grounds that the Examiner must examine the entire application if the search and examination can be made without serious burden. (MPEP § 803). Applicant's claims are directed to a method and a display system having structures which operate to carry out the method. The functionality in the display system claims, which distinguishes them

from the prior art, is greatly reflected in the method claims. As such, a search and examination for the claims in each of the Examiners groups I and II are likely to yield significantly overlapping results.

Respectfully submitted,
Daryl E. Anderson

By Mark G. Pannell
Mark G. Pannell
Reg. No. 40,761

Date July 14, 2005
(719) 260-7900